



Record of the Interim Committee Meeting

Held by Zoom Conference on 11th December 2020

1 Present

Tony Cole, President (TC)
David Nixon, Commodore and Chair (DN)
Richard Davis, Rear Commodore, Motor Boats and Fishing (RD)
Chris Kershaw, Hon Secretary (CK)
Mike Harvey, Health & Safety Officer (MH)
David Tebay, DT)
Paul Walker (PW)

2 Apologies for Absence

Mike Tennuci; Linda Richter; Torsten Richter; Peter Mason; Dave Casson

3 Purpose of the Meeting

The meeting was prompted by the CHM enquiring about progress with GKN and intimating that the MMO licence application might time-out in the new year. DN had responded that GKN had not replied and suggesting a meeting week commencing 14th December to help frame a response to the MMO to which the CHM had agreed.

DN had noted to the CHM that he had convened an interim meeting of the General Committee to discuss, amongst other things, how to engage with the membership. Unknown to the CHM, DN had wanted to open up the options and give a final opportunity for members of the Committee to argue for 'Option 2'. DN expressed disappointment the relevant members of the General Committee were not present to argue the case. It was now time to move on with the two options remaining: 'Version 6 of the layout' or 'stay as we are'.

4 Preliminary Business

a Co-option of PW to the position of Rear Commodore Stores

- i. DC had resigned from the position of Deputy to the Rear Commodore Stores;
- ii. MT had resigned from the club;
- iii. DN had asked PW to assume responsibility for Stores;
- iv. DN proposed that PW be co-opted to the position of Rear Commodore

Stores. The motion was seconded by CK and carried unanimously.

b Recognising the service of Mike Tennuci

TC proposed that we offer the position of a Vice President of the club to MT; the motion was seconded by MH and carried unanimously.

5 Pontoon Development Options

a Option 2

- I. With potentially more space at the north end of the development, there were arguments that could be placed before the pontoon petitioners that pointed to a possible lessening of their worries about the North Passage.
- II. Since there was no one present to argue the case both TC and DN were disinclined to pursue that line and re-open past divisions.

b Layout Version 6 (Inner Basin)

- I. The CHM drawing of the layout (Version 6) had the walk-ashore pontoons on the south side.
- II. Should GKN respond with permission to straddle their intertidal mud the CHM might agree to a 'Version 7' with the pontoons on the north side.
- III. This was not on offer at the moment.
- IV. It was agreed that we would work with version 6 as it was. If GKN responded positively to the club straddling the intertidal mud it would be a bonus.
- V. DT remarked that the members had been without a voice in the development for some time and he thought it important that we present them with a choice.
- VI. DN explained that he could play this by telling the CHM he needed to pay a significant sum for walk ashore access. In which case, on past performance, the CHM could well withdraw from the development. Alternatively, he could invite the CHM to make his best offer and that would stand a better chance of getting as far as a membership vote.
- VII. TC noted that the CHM had seemed to renege on a previous offer to replace the hammerhead free-of-charge and encumbrance. He was keen to have that offer reinstated, get everything costed, in writing and agreed by the CHM before putting a vote to the membership
- VIII. PW thought we could make a start on informing the membership by posting an A3 diagram of the Version 6 layout on the Centre Store noticeboard and offering to talk members through it individually.
- IX. PW and DT offered to join DN in a Zoom meeting with the CHM in week commencing 14th December 2020,

Meeting Closed at 19:55 hrs